**EXHIBIT 300**                                                                                    **UII 184-000000028**

# Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Summary

## Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

### Section A: Overview & Summary Information

**Date Investment First Submitted:** 2009-06-30
**Date of Last Change to Activities:** 2012-07-25
**Investment Auto Submission Date:** 2012-02-28
**Date of Last Investment Detail Update:**  2012-02-28
**Date of Last Exhibit 300A Update:**  2012-02-28
**Date of Last Revision:**  2012-07-25

**Agency:** 184 - U.S. Agency for International Development
Development

**Bureau:** 15 - Agency for International

**Investment Part Code:**  03

**Investment Category:**  00 - Agency Investments

**1. Name of this Investment:** IT Transition

**2. Unique Investment Identifier (UII):** 184-000000028

### Section B: Investment Detail

1.  **Provide a brief summary of the investment, including a brief description of the related benefit to the mission delivery and management support areas, and the primary beneficiary(ies) of the investment.  Include an explanation of any dependencies between this investment and other investments.**

     The IT Transition Major Investment was designed to fund projects that support USAID's 2008 IT Strategic Plan.  In 2010, the Office of the CIO modified its strategic plan and direction. Parts of this investment were rewritten to support those strategies.  In 2010 and 2011, projects were implemented that respond to USAID's 2010 Strategic Plan, Target Enterprise Architecture, and Enterprise Transition Roadmap.  With one exception, all initiatives were small in scope, risk and cost.  The exception was the "operating-year budget and program management system" (known as OPS Master), which was estimated to cost $4.2M to develop and implement over three years.  In 2011, the EDM projects identified USAID's major lines of business, the locations of authoritative data, and a performance management plan that defined performance measures for the EDM program as it moves forward.  Using these projects as predecessors, USAID has begun defining its enterprise data governance model, and has begun defining the data architecture for Development Assistance Management (the Agency's core line of business.)  Funding for these projects is outside of the IT Transition Investment.  In 2011, the Cloud projects produced a management framework for USAID's cloud computing strategy, and an inventory of candidates to move to the cloud.  Results of this work include moving the data center, virtualizing many services, and Saas/PaaS services being introduced into the Agency (Google Apps, Salesforce.com, Adobe Connect Cloud,

**EXHIBIT 300**                                                                              **UII 184-000000028**

among others.)  All of the EDM and Cloud projects identified in this Investment were completed in FY 2011.  For 2012 and 2013, no IT Transition funds are being allocated or requested.  Other funding sources are being used for follow-ons to the EDM and Cloud initiatives,.  For OPS Master, other funds may be used to deploy the system depending on the results of its TechStat review.  Evaluations of the 2011 projects will be completed in 2012.  Evaluations will be based on the Measurement Indicators defined in the 2011 Exhibit 300.

2. **How does this investment close in part or in whole any identified performance gap in support of the mission delivery and management support areas?  Include an assessment of the program impact if this investment isn't fully funded.**

    - The "operating-year budget and program management system" closes a gap for field-office staff that manage foreign assistance programs and budgets. -  The EDM projects provided parts of the foundation needed to manage enterprise data.  Outputs of these projects led to a segment architecture project for Development Assistance Management. - The Cloud Computing projects established an understanding of which services were good candidates for the cloud, and provided guidance for moving the first set of services.

3. **Provide a list of this investment's accomplishments in the prior year (PY), including projects or useful components/project segments completed, new functionality added, or operational efficiency achieved.**

    1) Enterprise Architecture - The projects completed in 2011 strengthened USAID's enterprise data management and cloud computing frameworks and governance.   2) OPS Master  - This "operating-year budget and program management system" is an enterprise-level tool to be used by field-office staff that manage foreign assistance programs and budgets.  Timeliness and accuracy of reporting improves because data will be consistent across the field offices.

4. **Provide a list of planned accomplishments for current year (CY) and budget year (BY).**

    CY Monitoring and evaluation of projects completed in 2010 and 2011.  Measurement indicators are described in the 2011 Exhibit 300. BY Nothing is planned for the 2013 Budget Year.

5. **Provide the date of the Charter establishing the required Integrated Program Team (IPT) for this investment.  An IPT must always include, but is not limited to: a qualified fully-dedicated IT program manager, a contract specialist, an information technology specialist, a security specialist and a business process owner before OMB will approve this program investment budget. IT Program Manager, Business Process Owner and Contract Specialist must be Government Employees.**

2011-09-15

**EXHIBIT 300**                                        **UII 184-000000028**

**Section C: Summary of Funding (Budget Authority for Capital Assets)**

**1.**

| Table I.C.1 Summary of Funding | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | **PY-1 & Prior** | **PY 2011** | **CY 2012** | **BY 2013** |
| Planning Costs: | $0.0 | $0.0 | $0.0 | $0.0 |
| DME (Excluding Planning) Costs: | $0.0 | $0.8 | $2.0 | $0.0 |
| DME (Including Planning) Govt. FTEs: | $0.0 | $0.0 | $0.0 | $0.0 |
| Sub-Total DME (Including Govt. FTE): | 0 | $0.8 | $2.0 | 0 |
| O & M Costs: | $0.0 | $0.0 | $0.0 | $0.0 |
| O & M Govt. FTEs: | $0.0 | $0.2 | $0.2 | $0.2 |
| Sub-Total O & M Costs (Including Govt. FTE): | 0 | $0.2 | $0.2 | $0.2 |
| Total Cost (Including Govt. FTE): | 0 | $1.0 | $2.2 | $0.2 |
| Total Govt. FTE costs: | 0 | $0.2 | $0.2 | $0.2 |
| # of FTE rep by costs: | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Total change from prior year final President's Budget ($) | | $-3.2 | $0.7 | |
| Total change from prior year final President's Budget (%) | | -76.54% | 48.02% | |

**EXHIBIT 300**                                                                                                    **UII 184-000000028**

**2. If the funding levels have  changed from the FY 2012 President's Budget request for PY or CY, briefly explain those changes:**

**EXHIBIT 300**  **UII 184-000000028**

**Section D: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)**

| | | | | Table I.D.1 Contracts and Acquisition Strategy | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Contract Type | EVM Required | Contracting Agency ID | Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID) | Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID | IDV Agency ID | Solicitation ID | Ultimate Contract Value ($M) | Type | PBSA ? | Effective Date | Actual or Expected End Date |
| | | NONE | | | | | | | | | |

**2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:**

EVM reports will not be a contract requirement.  The projects that comprise this investment are low cost, low risk, have stakeholder buy-in, and have a well defined scope that would result in minimal scope creep.

**EXHIBIT 300**                                                                 **UII 184-000000028**

# Exhibit 300B: Performance Measurement Report

## Section A: General Information

**Date of Last Change to Activities:**  2012-07-25

## Section B: Project Execution Data

### Table II.B.1 Projects

| Project ID | Project Name | Project Description | Project Start Date | Project Completion Date | Project Lifecycle Cost ($M) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| EDM-2011-1 | Identify authoritative data sets | Identify authoritative data sets. | | | |
| EDM-2011-2 | Select data sets | Select authoritative data sets. | | | |
| CLD-2011-1 | Develop migration criteria | Develop migration selection criteria. | | | |
| OPS-2011-1 | OPS Master v3 | OPS Master v3. | | | |
| OPS-2011-2 | OPS Master v3.2 | OPS Master v3.2. | | | |

### Activity Summary

Roll-up of Information Provided in Lowest Level Child Activities

| Project ID | Name | Total Cost of Project Activities ($M) | End Point Schedule Variance (in days) | End Point Schedule Variance (%) | Cost Variance ($M ) | Cost Variance (%) | Total Planned Cost ($M) | Count of Activities |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| EDM-2011-1 | Identify authoritative data sets | | | | | | | |
| EDM-2011-2 | Select data sets | | | | | | | |
| CLD-2011-1 | Develop migration criteria | | | | | | | |
| OPS-2011-1 | OPS Master v3 | | | | | | | |
| OPS-2011-2 | OPS Master v3.2 | | | | | | | |

**EXHIBIT 300**                                                                                          **UII 184-000000028**

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | **Key Deliverables** | | | | | |
| **Project Name** | **Activity Name** | **Description** | **Planned Completion Date** | **Projected Completion Date** | **Actual Completion Date** | **Duration (in days)** | **Schedule Variance (in days )** | **Schedule Variance (%)** |
| EDM-2011-1 | Identify data sets. | Identify authoritative data sets. | 2011-08-30 | 2011-08-30 | 2011-08-30 | 210 | 0 | 0.00% |
| EDM-2011-2 | Select data sets. | Select authoritative data sets. | 2011-08-30 | 2011-08-30 | 2011-08-30 | 210 | 0 | 0.00% |
| CLD-2011-1 | Migration selection criteria. | Migration selection criteria. | 2011-09-30 | 2011-09-30 | 2011-09-30 | 199 | 0 | 0.00% |
| OPS-2011-1 | Alternatives analysis. | Techstat - alternatives analysis. | 2012-01-13 | 2012-01-13 | 2012-01-13 | 43 | 0 | 0.00% |
| OPS-2011-2 | Alternatives analysis. | TechStat - alternatives analysis. | 2012-01-13 | 2012-01-13 | 2012-01-13 | 43 | 0 | 0.00% |

# EXHIBIT 300

UII 184-000000028

## Section C: Operational Data

### Table II.C.1 Performance Metrics

| Metric Description | Unit of Measure | FEA Performance Measurement Category Mapping | Measurement Condition | Baseline | Target for PY | Actual for PY | Target for CY | Reporting Frequency |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | NONE | | | | |